

## Summary course evaluation report

## Academic year 2021-22

| Degree programme(s): | MSc. Global Development |
|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Head of Studies:     | Henrik Hansen           |

## All ECTS-generating activities are evaluated at each pass

| Completed Bachelor's projects, theses,            | The theses and academic internships have not |  |
|---|--|--|
| academic internships, fieldwork and Master's      | been evaluated. The reason is continued      |  |
| projects must be evaluated. Have one of these     | confusion wrt. duties in the study           |  |
| categories of study activities not been evaluated | administration.                              |  |
| and, if so, why?                                  |  |  |
| Are there courses or other ECTS-generating        | The course "Thesis Data Collection" has not  |  |
| activities that have not been evaluated and, if   | been evaluated because of very few students  |  |
| so, why:  | (less than five)                             |  |

## Response rates

| Response rates                                |  |         |
|---|--|---------|
| Response rate, Autumn Semester:               | Theories, Facts and Current Issues   | 63%     |
|   | Applied Research Methods   | 73%     |
|   | Transn. Actors, Networks and Placem.   | 39%     |
|   | Global Business and Economics  | 65%     |
| Response rate, Spring Semester:               | Interdisciplinary Field Research   | 23%     |
| Response rate, opining bemester.              | Global Politics  | 17%     |
|   | Economic Growth and Inequality   | 24%     |
| Response rate, last year, Autumn              | Theories, Facts and Current Issues   | 87%     |
|   | Applied Research Methods   | 42%     |
| Semester:                                     | Transn. Actors, Networks and Placem.   | 50%     |
|   | Global Business and Economics  | 58%     |
|   | Thesis Data Collection   | 31%     |
| Response rate, last year, Spring Semester:    | Interdisciplinary Field Research   | 52%     |
| Response rate, last year, opining bemester.   | Global Politics  | 32%     |
|   | Economic Growth and Inequality   | 53%     |
| Target response rate:                         | 50 %   |         |
| Does the Head of Studies regularly            | yes  |         |
| encourage lecturers to evaluate during        |  |         |
| teaching hours:                               |  |         |
| Does the Head of Studies encourage that       | yes  |         |
| the first course of teaching begins with the  | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,  |         |
| lecturer explaining which changes have        |  |         |
| been made to the course compared to last      |  |         |
| vear.   |  |         |
| We evaluate the importance of the ev          |  | ions at |
| Account in brief for any further action taken | We explain the importance of the evaluat<br>the introduction to the programme and at |         |
| to increase the response rate:                | evaluation round.  | Cacil   |
| ·   |  |         |

| Distribution of the evaluations in categories A, B and C                 |        | Number, |
|--|--------|---------|
|  | autumn | spring  |
| Category-A assessment  |        | 0       |
| Category-A assessments are given when evaluations are particularly       |        |         |
| good, for example when lecturers have taken exemplary initiatives and    |        |         |
| positive experience has been gained from which other teachers or course  |        |         |
| elements can benefit.  |        |         |
| Category-B assessment  |        | 2       |
| Category-B assessments are given when standards are satisfactory. The    |        |         |
| communication of the result to the lecturer may still be accompanied by  |        |         |
| suggested improvements and adjustments, but it is basically up to the    |        |         |
| lecturer to introduce initiatives.                                       |        |         |
| Category-C assessment  |        | 1       |
| Category-C assessments are given when one or more aspects of the         |        |         |
| degree programme are so problematic that improvements must be made,      |        |         |
| supervised by the programme management and/or the departmental           |        |         |
| management (depending on the nature of the problem(s)). Category-C       |        |         |
| assessments can also be given if other aspects of a subject element than |        |         |
| the teaching as such need to be adjusted, e.g. the course content,       |        |         |
| requirements in relation to the academic background of participants, the |        |         |
| academic level or the extent of the teaching.                            |        |         |

Processing of student evaluations received

Reflection on the distribution of teaching evaluations in categories A, B and C and response rates: Det giver ikke den store mening at kommentere detaljeret på fordelingen i A, B, og C kurser når antallet af kurser er så lavt som for Global Development. Vi har tidligere haft 2 A-kurser og ingen Ckurser. Det er naturligvis målet ikke at have C-kurser, men samtidig skal der forsøges med kursus udvikling, og i dette tilfælde forløb kurset ikke som forventet og håbet.

For category A, focus is on the particularly positive experience gained during the period:

Vi har ingen A-kurser i denne periode. Alle kurser er på et højt niveau

Category-B (the middle group, probably the largest of the three) are commented on only in brief. B-kurser på GD er alle af meget høj kvalitet sammenlignet med kurser på andre uddannelser, studielederen har kendskab til.

For category C, a description is provided of any issues identified as being in need of attention, as well as any adjustments and other follow-up initiatives already implemented or due to be implemented.

De studerende ønskede bedre koordination mellem de tre undervisere. Det retter vi op på. Studielederen har talt med underviserne

Follow-up initiatives. Mention is made, in particular, of skills development initiatives. Ingen

Links to the underlying evaluation data. If no links are provided, it must be stated what material the programme evaluation report is based on (For example questionnaires):

Jeg ved ikke hvor data er placeret. Det ved studieadministrationen

How was the data material obtained:

Online spørgeskema

Will the lecturer, the course organiser (if there is a course organiser), the Head of Department and the Study Board receive the results of the course evaluations?

Ja